Environmental Economics
AAE / Econ / Envir. St. 343
Fall 2019

Instructor:
Prof. Bill Provencher
Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics
519 Taylor Hall
Email: rwproven@wisc.edu

Teaching Assistants:
Ziqi Qiao
Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics
317 Taylor Hall
Email: zqiao7@wisc.edu

Karin Wu
Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics
318 Taylor Hall
Email: kwu75@wisc.edu

Class Meetings: 9:30-10:45 (T Th) 1125 Biochemistry

Office Hours: Provencher: Monday, 2:00-3:30, 519 Taylor Hall
Qiao: Monday 10-11, 317 Taylor Hall
Wu: Thursday 2:30-3:15, 318 Taylor Hall

Course Description
The primary goal of this course is to introduce students to major concepts in the field of environmental economics. Given the diverse backgrounds of students with respect to previous coursework in economics, the approach taken in this course is to convey concepts using a mix of standard graphical exposition, case studies, classroom games, and short problem sets. The focus throughout the term is on real-world problems.

The course is organized around four major questions:

1. Why do environmental problems occur and how can we do better?
2. How much environmental damage should be allowed?
3. Are we running out of natural resources?
4. What is the role of economics in the major environmental issue of our time?
We will examine each of the first three major questions by developing a set of economic tools and then analyzing real-world cases related to each question. The fourth question applies these tools in an examination of climate change and energy policy.

**Readings:**

The textbook for this class is recommended, not required.


The textbook is available on-line at Amazon and directly from Island Press.

**Course Material on Canvas**

Course material such as the syllabus, lecture slides, homework assignments and solutions, reading materials, and solutions to exams will be available on the course Canvas page.

**Grading**

Grading is based on two in-class exams, lecture and discussion participation, and homework assignments. Exams will cover material presented in class and discussion, assigned readings, and homework assignments. Emphasis is placed on material presented in class and discussion, so attendance is necessary for a satisfactory performance. The exams each count for 30% of your grade (plus ½ point for putting your name on your exam), the homework assignments count for 24%, and lecture and discussion participation count for 15%. Additional information about your grade for participation and homework is provided below. In summary:

- 30.5 points for the first exam
- 30.5 points for the second exam
- 24 points for the problem sets (8 problem sets, 3 points each)
- 15 points for participation in lecture and discussion

-------------------

100 points total

**Exam dates (exams are in class): October 25, December 10**

**Top Hat student engagement platform**

We will be using Top Hat during lectures and discussion. This educational platform allows students to use their mobile devices (smartphones, laptops, iPads, etc.) to respond to questions I ask in class. This requires you to create an account. Last I checked, the cost is $16 for a semester, or $20 for the year, and this subscription fee covers all UW courses that use Top Hat. For instructions on creating an account, go here. A student guide is here. Once you have an account, enroll in the UW course titled, “Environmental Economics 2019”.

Do not procrastinate on creating your Top Hat account and enrolling in this course. We will test the Top Hat software in the first lecture. If you do not have an account by the second lecture (September 10), your participation grade will suffer because, as described below, responses to Top Hat polls are the basis for receiving participation credit in lecture and discussion.
If you are not in the lecture/discussion room when a poll is conducted you are NOT allowed to respond to the poll. This is a form of cheating. If I catch you doing this—and I do have ways of determining whether a student is doing this—you will lose all participation credit for the semester.

Lecture and Discussion Participation

15% of your grade is based on active participation in the course. Course participation involves responding to questions in designated lectures and discussion sections using Top Hat.

Polling serves three basic purposes. The first is to break up the lecture a bit—75 minutes is a long time for a student to stay focused on class material—by having students participate in the lecture via their polling responses to questions raised in class. Questions will range from those querying your opinion about environmental or other matters (e.g. “Do you believe the U.S. should have pulled out of the Paris Climate Accord?”), to those specific to the material presented in the class (“Under this tax system, how many units of pollution will firm X emit?”).

Second, we will use polling in several economic games to illustrate certain economic principles.

Finally, polling gives us feedback on whether you understand the material we present. If I ask a question about the material and find out that most students do not understand it, then I have good reason to go back through the material, presenting it a little differently to facilitate your understanding.

There are 35 designated lectures and discussions in which your Top Hat participation is monitored. Each is associated with 0.5 participation points on your final grade. Your overall participation score will be the sum of your 30 highest participation scores. For instance, if you get full participation credit in each of 30 lectures/discussions, you’ll get the maximum points for participation, 30⋅0.5=15 points. It is possible, then, to miss up to five lectures or discussions without affecting your participation score.

- Definition of a “designated” lecture: All lectures except the first one and the two set aside for exams. There are 24 designated lectures in total.

- Definition of a “designated” discussion: Each meeting of your discussion section, except the one for the meeting in the first week of class, which is an optional review of basic economics. There are 11 designated discussion meetings in total.

If your polling device doesn’t work in a lecture/discussion, you do not receive the ½ point participation credit for the lecture/discussion; it’ll have to count against one of the five lectures/discussions that you can miss and still get full participation credit. The class is too large for me to manually change participation grades. A good reason to attend all lectures and discussions is to manage this risk.

Outside of the five missed lectures/discussions that I allow, I will consider requests for permitted absences due to illness, participation in UW athletic or scholastic events, etc., only if you present a written request with phone contact from the appropriate supervisory authority (such as your doctor or coach).

To earn the full ½ point participation credit for a lecture or discussion, you must correctly answer all Top Hat polling questions in the lecture. The number of questions varies across lectures/discussions. For questions for which there is a correct answer, your participation grade for that lecture/discussion will depend on whether you provide a correct answer or not. For such questions, 50% of your score will reflect the simple fact that you answered it, and 50% will reflect whether you got the question right. I give this demerit for incorrect responses to encourage you to think seriously about the question. Generally, if you’re paying attention in class, you’ll get the correct answer.
To better understand how this works, consider a lecture involving four questions. Participation in the lecture is worth $\frac{1}{2}$ point (0.5 points). If you answer all four questions, but get the correct answer on only two of the questions, then for that lecture you’ll receive $\frac{1}{2}*(1+1+.5+.5)/4=0.375$ points toward your final grade. If you participate in all four, and get none of them correct, you’ll receive $(1/2)*(.5+.5+.5+.5)/4=0.25$ points towards your final grade.

**Problem Sets**

Problem sets will focus on applying concepts from class to real-world environmental problems. There are 9 problem sets throughout the term. Your grade for the homework will be based on your top 8 homework scores, each worth 3 points, for a total of 24% of your grade. Homework assignments will be posted on the course website on the date indicated in the table below, and due at the start of class on the dates indicated in the table. Late assignments will not be accepted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted</th>
<th>Due (start of class)</th>
<th>Returned (in discussion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept 12</td>
<td>Sept 17</td>
<td>Sept 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 19</td>
<td>Sept 24</td>
<td>Sept 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 26</td>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>Oct 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 3</td>
<td>Oct 8</td>
<td>Oct 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 10</td>
<td>Oct 15</td>
<td>Oct 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 31</td>
<td>Nov 5</td>
<td>Nov 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 7</td>
<td>Nov 12</td>
<td>Nov 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 14</td>
<td>Nov 19</td>
<td>Nov 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 21</td>
<td>Nov 26</td>
<td>Dec 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Policy concerning regrading**

Homework assignments are not regraded except for an arithmetic error.

If you wish to have an exam regraded, you must give me your exam with a written explanation of why you believe the scoring is incorrect. The burden is on you to establish that the original scoring of your exam was unfair. I will not consider regrades without the attendant written request.

**Lecture Schedule (subject to minor adjustments)**

**Introduction and Review**

*Meeting #1 (9-5):* Introduction and review of syllabus

**Question #1:** Why do environmental problems occur and how can we do better? Market failure and environmental policy.

*Meeting #2 (9-10):* Public goods and externalities I
*Meeting #3 (9-12):* Public goods and externalities II
*Meeting #4 (9-17):* Externalities and the Coase Theorem
*Meeting #5 (9-19):* Economics of pollution control I
*Meeting #6 (9-24):* Economics of pollution control II
Question #2: How much environmental damage should be allowed? The economic costs and benefits of changes in environmental quality.

Meeting #9 (10-3): Cost-benefit analysis I
Meeting #10 (10-8): Cost-benefit analysis II
Meeting #11 (10-10): Economics of environmental valuation I
Meeting #12 (10-15): Economics of environmental valuation II
Meeting #13 (10-17): Economics of environmental valuation III
Meeting #14 (10-22): Economics of environmental valuation IV

Meeting #15 (10-24): First Exam (in class)

Question #3: Are we running out of natural resources? The economics of resource use over time

Meeting #16 (10-29): Resource use over time I
Meeting #17 (10-31): Resource use over time II
Meeting #18 (11-5): Resource use over time III
Meeting #19 (11-7): Resource use over time IV
Meeting #20 (11-12): Resource use over time V
Meeting #21 (11-14): Resource use over time VI

Question #4: What is the role of economics in the major environmental issue of our time?

Meeting #22 (11-19): Economics of climate change and energy policy I
Meeting #23 (11-21): Economics of climate change and energy policy II
Meeting #24 (11-26): Economics of climate change and energy policy III
Meeting #25 (12-3): Economics of climate change and energy policy IV
Meeting #26 (12-5): Economics of climate change and energy policy V

Meeting #27: (12-10): Second Exam